

The LPG1x family from *Leishmania major* **is constituted of rare eukaryotic galactofuranosyltransferases with unprecedented catalytic properties**

Jihen Ati, Cyril Colas, Pierre Lafite, Ryan Sweeney, Ruixiang Blake Zheng,

Todd Lowary, Richard Daniellou

To cite this version:

Jihen Ati, Cyril Colas, Pierre Lafite, Ryan Sweeney, Ruixiang Blake Zheng, et al.. The LPG1x family from *Leishmania major* is constituted of rare eukaryotic galactofuranosyltransferases with unprecedented catalytic properties. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, pp.147566. 10.1038/s41598-018-35847-w. hal-03478310

HAL Id: hal-03478310 <https://univ-orleans.hal.science/hal-03478310v1>

Submitted on 14 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

SCIENTIFIC REPERTS

Received: 15 June 2018 Accepted: 9 November 2018 Published online: 04 December 2018

The LPG1x family from OPEN*Leishmania major* **is constituted of rare eukaryotic galactofuranosyltransferases with unprecedented catalytic properties**

JihenAti1, CyrilColas1, Pierre Lafte 1, Ryan P. Sweeney2, Ruixiang Blake Zheng2, Todd L. Lowary 2 & Richard Daniellou ¹

Galactofuranosyltransferases are poorly described enzymes despite their crucial role in the virulence and the pathogenicity of numerous microorganisms. These enzymes are considered as potential targets for therapeutic action. In addition to the only well-characterised prokaryotic GlfT2 from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis***, four putative genes in** *Leishmania major* **were previously described as potential galactofuranosyltransferases. In this study, we have cloned, over-expressed, purifed and fully determined the kinetic parameters of these four eukaryotic enzymes, thus demonstrating their unique potency in catalysing the transfer of the galactofuranosyl moiety into acceptors. Their** individual promiscuity revealed to be different, as some of them could efficiently use NDP-pyranoses **as donor substrates in addition to the natural UDP-galactofuranose. Such results pave the way for the development of chemoenzymatic synthesis of furanosyl-containing glycoconjugates as well as the design of improved drugs against leishmaniasis.**

Glycosyltransferases (GTs) (E.C. 2.4.x.x) constitute a large class of enzymes involved in the synthesis of abundant complex glycosidic structures expressed in cells¹. These glycoconjugates are of upmost importance for the interaction between cells and for infection by pathogenic species². Amongst these GTs, galactofuranosyltransferases (Gal*f*Ts) are poorly described enzymes that catalyse the transfer of a galactofuranosyl moiety (Gal*f*) from UDP- α -D-Gal*f* to specific acceptor molecules (Fig. 1)^{3,4}. Noteworthy is that Gal*f* is a five-membered ring sugar that is mainly found on the surface of many pathogenic species such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Aspergillus* and *Leishmania* species^{5,6}. The peculiarity of this sugar arises from its high immunogenicity^{7,8} and the fact that it is totally absent from mammals⁵. The detection of Gal*f* can therefore be of interest for diagnosis of infection^{9,10}. Even more interestingly, as it can be classifed as a virulence factor, the inhibition of the biosynthesis of Gal*f*-containing conjugates can lead to the development of promising inhibitors from which may arise powerful drugs against Multi-Drug-Resistant tuberculosis, for example^{11,12}. The unique structure of Galf in the glycosciences has therefore drawn the attention of many researchers due to its potential tremendous therapeutic applications.

Despite this interest, very few GalfTs have been studied to date. The most investigated microbial organism expressing Gal*f*T is *M. tuberculosis*, whose Gal*f*Ts involved in cell wall arabinogalactan biosynthesis have been cloned and characterized13–15. In the galactan chain, Gal*f* is alternatively bound by β-(1→5) and β-(1→6) glycosidic bonds. Te two Gal*f*Ts involved in galactan chain initiation and elongation are bifunctional, and are able to catalyse the formation of two diferent glycosidic bonds. Besides those two, much less information is known on WbbI from *Klebsiella pneumoniae*16, which is another bifunctional Gal*f*T, and on GfsA from Aspergillus17. In *Trypanosoma rangeli*, genes were identifed as coding for Gal*f*T, however, the enzymatic activity of the corresponding protein could not be demonstrated¹⁸.

¹Institut de Chimie Organique et Analytique, UMR CNRS 7311, Université d'Orléans, Rue de Chartres, BP6759, Orléans, Cedex 02, France. 2Alberta Glycomics Centre and Department of Chemistry, The University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, T6G 2G2, Canada. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.D. (email: richard.daniellou@univ-orleans.fr)

Figure 1. Enzymatic incorporation of a Gal*f* unit from UDP-α-D-Gal*f* onto an acceptor catalysed by a Gal*f*T.

Leishmaniasis belongs to the group of Neglected Tropical Diseases, as defned by World Health Organization (WHO), which includes diseases that are endemic to Tird World countries. More than 20 species of parasites are responsible for the yearly infection of two million people, threatening about 350 million people worldwide. It is estimated that more than 12 million people are infected by the parasite in nearly 100 countries¹⁹. This disease can exhibit several clinical forms, from the common cutaneous form, which is generally a self-healing disease, to the visceral form (Kala-azar) that is the most severe, usually fatal manifestation of *Leishmania* infection. Moreover, leishmaniasis has emerged as one of the most important opportunistic infection associated with HIV. In southern Europe, 70% of visceral leishmaniasis are associated with HIV infection²⁰. For these reasons, leishmaniasis is considered as one of the most fatal Neglected Tropical Disease, and has drawn WHO's attention concerning its diagnosis and treatment²¹. Efficient prophylactic measures, including safe vaccines, are not available, and effective and afordable chemotherapy is lacking. Current treatments that rely on toxic antimony-containing compounds or diamidines, require strict medical supervision and are threatened by the spread of drug resistance²². Other medications such as amphotericin B or miltefosine ofer an alternative for treatment but are also toxic and expensive. In addition, the emergence of resistant strains is expected for miltefosine because of its long half-life²³. There is thus an urgent need to identify new chemotherapeutic agents for the treatment of this disease.

Te discovery of new antiparasitic compounds exhibiting low toxicity and high specifcity for *Leishmania* relies on the identification of new therapeutic targets. The first pyranose-furanose mutase from an eukaryotic organism has been recently characterized in *Leishmania*24. Targeted gene deletion of this enzyme in *Leishmania major* led to attenuated virulence, establishing that Galf contributes significantly to *L. major* pathogenesis⁷. Investigations on Gal*f*T's have started with expression and isolation of the *M. tuberculosis* enzymes²⁵. The nature of the reactions catalyzed (processing transferases) and the structure of the Gal*f*-containing glycans in *M. tuberculosis* are very diferent from the *Leishmania* system. However, studies of *M. tuberculosis* Gal*f*Ts have provided data relevant for the design of antituberculosis agents^{25,26}. In Leishmania, galactofuranose-containing glycoconjugates such as lipophosphoglycans (LPGs), glycosylinositolphospholipids (GIPLs) and glycoproteins were reported as playing important role in parasite infection process (Fig. $2)^{27-30}$. Moreover all these structures have important functions in the parasite lifecycle³¹. They play a key role in growth and communication between the parasite and mammalian cells³². In addition, they are essential for the binding and detachment of the parasite from the midgut of the insect vector and therefore for the transmission of the parasite to the mammalian host³³⁻³⁵. They also confer resistance to complement-mediated lysis and inhibit phagolysosomal fusion³⁶. Therefore, to permit a better understanding of the implication of each Gal*f*-containing glycoconjugates in cell wall pathogenesis, it is important to identify and characterize the GalfTs that are involved in their assembly³⁷.

The genome of *Leishmania major* was previously screened by Zhang and co-workers, and an analysis led to the identifcation of four putative genes (*lpg1, lpg1L, lpg1R, and lpg1G*) that could encode for Gal*f*Ts, but that share less than 20% similarity of sequence with known GalfTs from other species^{30,38}. Knock-out studies enabled the authors to identify the enzyme LPG1 as the GalfT involved in Galf attachment during LPG biosynthesis³⁹. The generation of knock-out mutants enabled subsequent studies to identify LPG as necessary for insect infection, as well as critical for parasite virulence and survival in the early stages of the human infection, although some controversy still remains depending on the species^{31,39,40}. Deletion of *lpg1, lpg1L, or lpg1R* (single or multiple deletions) helped the authors to attribute respective functional roles of these three enzymes (Fig. 2A)³⁰, although no information on LPG1G nor study of any of the four proteins at a molecular level was available. Moreover, homologous enzymes are also present in other *Leishmania* species (*infantum*, *donovani*, *braziliensis*, *mexicana*) (Fig. 2B). LPG1R is only present as a truncated protein in *L. braziliensis*, thus the protein was not used for alignment and tree generation. All four proteins share 52–92% homology (27–30% identity) with *L. major* orthologs. Studying these Gal*f*Ts is therefore crucial to understand the chemistry of the Gal*f*T enzymatic reaction, and their biological role in *L. major*. Moreover, galactofuranose residues were also detected in glycoconjugates of *Trypanosma* species⁵; however, only genes coding for putative galactofuranosyltransferases were described in *T. rangeli* and in *T. cruzi*18,41. Biochemical tools and knowledge of these enzymes developed in *L. major* could thus serve as a template for other pathogenic systems, especially trypanosomatids for which no satisfactory treatments are available to date. Here we report the frst cloning, overexpression, purifcation and biochemical characterization of these four proteins from *L. major* as well as the identifcation of their enzymatic function.

Figure 2. (**A**) Putative biological roles of *Leishmania major* Gal*f*Ts. (**B**) Phylogeny of Gal*f*Ts between main pathological *Leishmania* species (*L. major, L. infantum, L. donovani, L. mexicana, and L. braziliensis*). The bottom bar scales the genetic change (*ie* ratio of substitutions per site) along horizontal branches. Starting from a MUSCLE alignment of LPG1, LPG1G, LPG1L, and LPG1R sequences from TriTrypDB database in *L. major* (resp. LmjF.25.0010, LmjF.32.3990, LmjF.26.0550, LmjF.33.0300), *L. infantum* (LinJ.25.0010, LinJ.32.4140, LinJ.26.0520, LinJ.33.0330), *L. donovani* (LdBPK_250010.1, LdBPK_324140.1, LdBPK_260520.1, LdBPK_330330.1), *L. mexicana* (LmxM.25.0010, LmxM.31.3990, LmxM.26.0550, LmxM.32.0300), and *L. braziliensis* (LbrM.25.0010, LbrM.32.4230, LbrM.26.0650), the tree was built using PhyML sofware using Neighbourg Joining algorithm in the Phylogeny.fr web server³⁷.

Material and Methods

Chemical and biological reagents. Chemical reagents including bufer, salts, sugars, NAD⁺, pyruvate kinase and lactic dehydrogenase enzymes from rabbit muscle were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. UDPpyranoses and pNP-sugars were purchased from Carbosynth (Compton, UK), pET-vectors from Novagen and pMal vectors from New England Biolabs. UDP-α-D-Gal*f* was enzymatically prepared, purifed and characterized following the procedure previously developed by Prof. Field's team 42 .

Cloning and expression of the four putatives genes. The four genes $log1$, $log1L$, $log1R$ and $log1G$ were amplifed by PCR using *L. major* genomic DNA, which was kindly provided by Dr Françoise Routier (Hannover Medical School), as template. Specifc primers described in Table S1 were designed to amplify genes that encode for proteins without the transmembrane domain (according to TMHMM prediction server)43. *lpg1*, *lpg1L* and *lpg1R* loci (TritrypDB Accession numbers LmjF.25.0010, LmjF.26.0550, LmjF.33.0300), and the 3 identical lpg1G genes copies located in 3 distinctive loci (TritrypDB Accession LmjF.32.3990, LmjF.05.1230, LmjF.19.1650) were used as template for primer design. The amplified region for *lpg1*, *lpg1L*, *lpg1R* and *lpg1G* excluded the transmembrane domains (resp. nucleotides 1–117, 1–120, 1–120, and 1–139). Amplicons were cloned into pMAL-c2X vector to generate *lpg1*-pMAL, *lpg1G*-pMAL, *lpg1L*-pMal, and *lpg1R*-pMal plasmids (Figs S1–S4). The sequencing of the DNA performed by Eurofins Genomics validated the cloned constructs. The corresponding MBP-fused protein thus contained respectively residues 40–396, 41–421, 41–592, and 47–599.

Plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3) strain. Clones were cultivated on LB Broth medium with the appropriate antibiotics (Chloramphenicol 30 μg/mL and Ampicillin 50 μg/mL) at 37 °C until optical density at 600nm reached 0.6. Overexpression was then induced with 100 µM of IPTG and the cultures were incubated overnight at 30 °C. Two liters of culture were harvested and resuspended in Tris 50mMpH 8.0 Bufer containing NaCl 25 mM and 1 mg/mL of lysozyme. Resuspension was then incubated for 30 min with stirring at 4 °C before freeze–thaw lysis, followed by sonication. Afer centrifugation (40000 g, 20 min, 4 °C), the supernatant was fltered and loaded on Maltose Binding Protein (MBP) affinity column (MBP Trap HP-1mL, GE Healthcare), and MBP-tagged proteins were then eluted according to manufacturer instructions. LPG1x recombinant proteins were finally purified by size exclusion chromatography (SuperdexTM 200 10/300 GL, GE Healthcare). The proteins of interest were considered as pure enough (>95%) according to SDS-PAGE to perform enzymatic assays. The concentration of contaminants was too low to enable their identification by mass fingerprinting after gel excision. The molecular weight, purity, and concentration were assayed by respectively MS, SDS-PAGE, and the Bradford assay⁴⁴.

Coupled spectrophotometric assay. Enzymatic assays were performed in 96-well microtiter plate following protocol previously described⁴⁵. A few parameters were modified: the final volume of the reaction was 200 µL and the media contained 0.2–10 µg of enzyme. Commercially available acceptors were tested at a fnal concentration of 1 mM. Twenty-nine commercially available carbohydrates were tested as acceptors including hexoses (D-Glc, D-Man, D-Glc*N*H2, D-Glc*N*Ac), monosaccharides (Me-α-D-Glc, Me-α-D-Man and Oct-α-D-Man), *p*NP-furanoses (*p*NP-α-L-Ara*f*, *p*NP-β-D-Gal*f* and *p*NP-β-D-Rib*f*), *p*NP-hexoses (*p*NP-α- and β-D-Glc, *p*NP-α- and β-D-Gal, *p*NP-α- and β-D-Man, *p*NP-α- and β-D-Glc*N*ac, *p*NP-α- and β-D-Xyl, *p*NP-αand β-L-Ara, *p*NP-α- and β-L-Fuc, *p*NP-β-D-Fuc and *p*NP-α-L-Rha) and disaccharides (D-Maltose, D-Lactose and D-Melibiose). Controls *i.e*. reaction's mixture missing the donor, the acceptor or the enzyme, were performed in parallel. The reactions were monitored at 340 nm using a Multiskan™ GO (Thermo Scientific) microplate reader for up to 20min with 10s intervals. UDP formation rates were assumed to be equal to NADH consumption rates, and kinetic parameters were calculated by ftting saturation curves (obtained from the average of triplicate measurements) with standard the Michaelis–Menten equation (Eq. 1)⁴⁶, using Prism 6 (GraphPad) (see Fig. S5).

$$
v_0 = \frac{V_{\text{max}} \times [S]}{K_{\text{M}} + [S]}
$$
 (1)

Michaelis–Menten equation. [S] is the substrate concentration, v_0 and V_{max} are, respectively, the initial and the apparent maximum velocity rate, and K_M is the apparent Michaelis constant.

Glycosylation reaction assay and High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRMS) analysis. A magnetically stirred 3 mL solution containing methyl α -D-mannopyranoside (25 μ mol, 5 mg), the UDP-sugar (5μmol, 2.8 mg), 50 mM Tris pH 8, 20 mM MgCl₂ and 0.5 mg of the Gal*f*T to be tested was prepared. The reaction was incubated at 37 °C for 24h. Analytical thin layer chromatography of the reaction mixture was performed on silica gel aluminium supported plates. The eluent was composed of ethyl acetate, methanol and water with the ratio of 7/2/1. Sugars were detected with orcinol solution (95% ethanol 100%, 5% H₂SO₄ and orcinol 200 mg) after heating at 100 °C (see Fig. S6). After reaction solvent evaporation, 1 mL of acetic anhydride and 1 mL of pyridine were added and the reaction was left at room temperature for 48h. Then, the residue was concentrated through co-evaporation with toluene. The reaction mixture was then resuspended and the peracetylated sugar was isolated by extraction into CH₂Cl₂. Finally, high-resolution accurate mass measurements were performed in positive mode with an ESI source on a Q-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker MaXis) with an accuracy tolerance of 2 ppm by the "Fédération de Recherche" ICOA/CBM (FR2708) analytical platform (see Fig. S7).

Results and Discussion

Expression of 4 *lpg1X* **family genes as recombinant soluble proteins.** The genes *lpg1, lpg1L, lpg1R and lpg1G* were amplifed by PCR from *Leishmania major* genomic DNA, using primers designed to remove the *N*-terminal transmembrane domain. Interestingly, unlike other *lpg1X* genes, *lpg1G* has a particular genetic context. In *L. major* genome, three identical copies of *lpg1G* gene are found in three distinct loci, all located near the telomeric end of the corresponding chromosomes. An increase of the copy number of genes near the telomeric end of chromosomes in *Leishmania* has been related to drug resistance mechanisms^{47,48}. However, in the case of *lpg1G gene*, the copy numbers are located in separate chromosomes, making this gene a unique example for which the biological function and signifcance of amplifcation has still to be understood. For all 4 genes, the amplifed fragments were initially cloned into diferent pET expression vectors (containing *N*- or both *N*- and *C*-terminal His-tag) such as pET-24b(+), pET-28a(+) and pET-32a(+) and transformed in various strains of *E. coli*. Each His-tagged recombinant protein was over-expressed and purifed but the obtained proteins were very difcult to purify, as they formed strong complexes with the GroEL chaperonin (as identifed by HRMS) and very low yields were obtained. Diferent literature protocols were tested to remove the contaminant but none was successful⁴⁹. Thus, in our hands, pET vectors were found not suitable for expressing the LPG1x proteins. To overcome this issue, the *lpg1X* genes were cloned in the pMAL-c2X expression vector to obtain MBP-tagged proteins. Constructs were transformed into *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3) expression strain and proteins were over-expressed at 30 °C. Chemical and physical lysing technics using lysozyme, heat shock and sonication were performed, followed by affinity and size exclusion chromatography. Finally, the pure desired recombinant proteins (Fig. 3) were obtained with a high yield of 5mg/L for LPG1 and LPG1R and 10mg/L of culture for LPG1G and LPG1L.

Enzymatic assays. We used a coupled spectrophotometic assay to assess GalfT activity⁴⁵. This assay correlates the formation of UDP with NADH consumption by coupling the activity of Gal*f*T to two enzymes, pyruvate kinase (PK) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). On the twenty-nine commercially available carbohydrates that were tested as acceptors, including hexoses, monosaccharides, *p*NP-furanoses, *p*NP-hexoses and disaccharides, only methyl α-D-mannopyrannoside (Me-Manp) efficiently reacted as an acceptor when used at 1 mM. It was therefore used as a simple acceptor, instead of synthesizing the complex natural acceptor. The acceptor ability of Me-Man*p* was anticipated, as a Gal*f*–Man*p* linkage is present in both LPG and GIPL (see Fig. 2).

LPG1, LPG1L, LPG1R and LPG1G are galactofuranosyltransferases. Using Me-Man*p* as the acceptor, kinetics data was obtained (three replicate experiments) with the respective enzymes. Michaelis–Menten analysis of the data is shown in Table 1. All four enzymes recognized UDP-Galf with apparent K_M values ranging from 0.02 to 0.55mM. Based on that parameter, the proteins can be clustered in two groups: LPG1, LPG1G, and LPG1L, which strongly bind to UDP-Gal*f* with *K*M's in the low μM level, and LPG1R, which binds UDP-Gal*f* more weakly. The UDP-Gal*f* K_M value for LPG1R is comparable to the one reported for mycobacterial GlfT2 (0.38 mM). Moreover, the *k*_{cat} values of LPG1, LPG1G and LPG1L range from 5,000 to 30,000 min⁻¹, which are superior to the catalytic rate for LPG1R, which is at least 10-fold time lower with a value of 636min[−]¹ (and comparable to the catalytic rate reported for GlfT2). The low enzymatic activity observed for LPG1R, in comparison with the three other Gal*f*Ts might be explained by uncorrected folding of the enzyme, because of the removal of *N*-terminal transmembrane domain. However, this hypothesis could be assessed, as for all other LPG1x expressed proteins, no loss of activity could be observed. Moreover, as all four proteins were soluble and no preliminary structural data (*eg*. Circular Dichroism) on full-length protein is available, validation of proper folding could not be confirmed. These marked differences in the Michaelis constant and turnover rate are found also when estimating the catalytic efficiency ($k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M}$) of LPG1, LPG1G and LPG1L yielding values higher than 300,000 min $^{-1}$ mM $^{-1}$ as opposed as 1,145 min⁻¹ mM⁻¹ and 1,131 min⁻¹ mM⁻¹ for LPG1R and GlfT2 respectively. In summary LPG1,

Table 1. Kinetic parameters of leishmanial Gal*f*Ts LPG1, LPG1G, LPG1L, LPG1R compared with mycobacterial GlfT2 for UDP-α-D-Gal*f*. a Me-Man*p* was used as the acceptor.

LPG1G and LPG1L demonstrated strong *in vitro* Gal*f*Ts properties, at least 300 times higher than LPG1R and the previously reported mycobacterial GlfT2 from *M. tuberculosis*. However, in *M. tuberculosis* GlfT2 is a polymerizing enzyme that adds around 30 Gal*f* units, linked by alternating by β-(1→5) and β-(1→6) glycosidic bonds50. GlfT2 belongs to the CAZY glycosyltransferase (GT) family $2^{51,52}$, which contains mainly polymerizing enzymes such as the cellulose or the chitin synthase. On the contrary, the LPG1x enzymes belong to the CAZY GT family 40, which only contains putative Gal*f*Ts from trypanosomatids. In *Leishmania* species, Gal*f*Ts introduce only one Gal*f* residue into the 3-OH position of the mannosyl acceptor with β-selectivity for example in LPG and GIPL (see Fig. 2).

In addition, afer subsequent peracetylation of the mixture following concentration, HRMS analysis demonstrated the presence of the corresponding disaccharide, indicated by the presence of a peak at *m/z*=673.1950 corresponding to the exact mass of the sodium adducts of the peracetylated and glycosylated methyl α -D-mannopyranoside product (see Fig. S7). Unfortunately ¹H, HMBC or HMQC NMR experiments lead to weak signals, probably due i) to the low amount of dissacharide or most probably, ii) to the presence of a mixture of (1–2, 1–3, 1–4 and/or 1–6) regioisomers. It is noteworthy that Gal*f*-man*p* containing structures can also be found in other pathogenic microorganisms such as *Cryphonectria parasitica* (1–2 linkage), *Aspergillus* (1–3 or 1–6 linkages) or *Paraccidioides brasiliensis* (1–6 linkage)5 . Still, this HMRS data unambiguously confrms that the LPG1x family can catalyse the transfer of a Galf residue to Me-Manp. These GalfT activities are unique both (i) in term of their high catalytic efficiency toward the UDP-Galf and (ii) because they are the first, and to date only, kinetically characterized enzymes from the CAZY GT family 40. Specially given their high turnover values, comparable to those of sucrose or glycogen phosphorylases, and although it will require more studies to discover efficient acceptors for these enzymes, they constitute original biocatalytic tools that will be useful for the chemoenzymatic synthesis of galactofuranosyl-containing conjugates. Such compounds are expected to be useful biological probes for studying cytosolic mutases or eukaryotic transporters^{53–55} present in the Golgi membranes of *Leishmania*.

Table 2. Kinetic values of LPG1, LPG1G, LPG1L and LPG1R for UDP-D-pyranoses (n.d: no enzymatic activity detected). ^aMe-Manp was used as the acceptor.

LPG1x family can also use UDP-pyranoses as sugar donors. Five NDP-pyranoses were also tested with each LPG1x Gal*f*T (Table 2) so to probe the substrate specificity of these enzymes with artificial donors. Unexpectedly, all four of the enzymes were able to use UDP-pyranoses as donor substrates. Preparative reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 24h and the reactions were followed by TLC (see Fig. S6). The product of these reactions exhibited an $R_f=0.16$, similar to the maltose used as a reference. HRMS analysis of the peracetylated sugar products enabled the identifcation of the corresponding disaccharide (see Fig. S7). None of the four-recombinant proteins was able to use UDP α -D-glucuronic acid as a substrate. LPG1, LPG1G and LPG1R were the less promiscuous as they were only able to recognize only one UDP-pyranose. LPG1 and LPG1G recognize UDP-α-D-Gal*p* with respective k_{cat}/K_M values of 1,400 min⁻¹ mM⁻¹ and 27,978 min⁻¹ mM⁻¹. This is far lower than for the UDP-Gal f and this is mainly due to a much lower k_{cat} . Indeed, the apparent K_M is still in the sub-mM range, even as low as 5 μM for LPG1G. LPG1R only recognized UDP-α-D-Glc*p* a similar kinetic properties to the two previous Gal f Ts for the UDP-Gal*p*, *i.e.* a low $k_{\rm cat}/K_{\rm M}$ (7,994 min⁻¹ mM⁻¹). Still this is close to a seven-fold increase as compared to UDP-Gal*f* and therefore LPG1R exhibits a better glucopyranosyltransferase than galactofuranosyltransferase activity at least *in vitro*. LPG1L was the most promiscuous enzyme in this respect as it was able to catalyse the reaction with not only UDP-α-D-Gal*p* and UDP-α-D-Glc*p* but also very surprisingly with GDP-α-D-Man*p* and GDP-α-D-Glc*p* even with lower specifcity. Once again, with LPG1L Gal*f*T the apparent $K_{\rm M}$ values were in the tens of millimolar range and the $k_{\rm cat}$ values were as low as 24 min^{−1}. NDP-pyranoses were all recognized in a similar manner but UDP nucleotide sugars led to faster reactions than their GDP counterparts by 50 to 100-fold. Among the few characterized Gal*f*Ts, only *M. tuberculosis* Gl*f*T2 has been reported to be able to use and incorporate analogues of galactofuranose (deoxy and fluoro derivatives)²⁶. However, LPG1x exhibit higher substrate promiscuity, as they can utilize UDP-pyranose donors.

The ability of LPG1L to recognize and utilize a diversity of nucleotide-sugar donors places this enzyme among the most promiscuous natural and characterized glycosyltransferase reported to date in term of the donor. Tis fnding also underscores this protein as a promising tool for glycorandomization, at least for transferring carbohydrate residues to α -Man*p*-containing acceptors^{56,57}. The hypothesis that this activity results from the residual activity of a contaminant mutase from *E. coli* followed by classical Gal*f*T activity was ruled out for three reasons: (i) UDP-α-D-Glc*p*, GDP-α-D-Man*p* and GDP-α-D-Glc*p* are not reported substrates of the mutase58, (ii) the presence of a residual band at 42 kDa on SDS-PAGE corresponding to the mass of the mutase was not observed (see Fig. 3)59, and (iii) the obtained kinetic parameters are incompatible with those observed for the mutase as a $contaminant⁶⁰$.

Conclusion

Despite the natural occurrence of the galactofuranose in many pathogenic microorganisms, the pathways involved in its biosynthesis remain poorly understood. Tis is due, in part, to a lack of knowledge of the corresponding enzymes involved in its incorporation into glycoconjugates (mutases, transferases, transporters, hydrolases). The tedious synthesis of the required donor substrate, UDP-α-D-Galf, is another barrier^{4,61,62}. This work provides the frst enzymatic characterization of eukaryotic Gal*f*Ts and substantially increases our knowledge of these rare enzymes from the CAZY GT family 40. In addition to UDP-α-D-Gal*f*, these enzymes proved *in vitro* to be able to use some NDP-pyranoses as substrates, thus indicated that they are among the most promiscuous natural glycosyltransferases to date. These unique biocatalysts also proved to be stable and robust for days and can now serve for the chemo-enzymatic incorporation of Gal*f* moiety into complex glycoconjugates.

References

- 1. Ati, J., Lafte, P. & Daniellou, R. Enzymatic synthesis of glycosides: from natural *O* and *N*-glycosides to rare *C* and *S*-glycosides. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **13**, 1857–1865, [https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.13.180](http://dx.doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.13.180) (2017).
- 2. Varki, A. Biological roles of glycans. *Glycobiology* **27**, 3–49, [https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cww086](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cww086) (2017).
- 3. Richards, M. R. & Lowary, T. L. Chemistry and Biology of Galactofuranose-Containing Polysaccharides. *ChemBioChem* **10**, 1920–1938, [https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200900208](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200900208) (2009).
- 4. Chlubnova, I. *et al*. Specifc and non-specifc enzymes for furanosyl-containing conjugates: biosynthesis, metabolism, and chemoenzymatic synthesis. *Carbohydr. Res.* **356**, 44–61, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2012.04.002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2012.04.002) (2012).
- 5. Peltier, P., Euzen, R., Daniellou, R., Nugier-Chauvin, C. & Ferrières, V. Recent knowledge and innovations related to hexofuranosides: structure, synthesis and applications. *Carbohydr. Res.* **343**, 1897–1923, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2008.02.010](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2008.02.010) (2008).
- 6. Tefsen, B., Ram, A. F. J., van Die, I. & Routier, F. H. Galactofuranose in eukaryotes: aspects of biosynthesis and functional impact. *Glycobiology* **22**, 456–469, [https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwr144](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwr144) (2012).
- 7. Kleczka, B. *et al*. Targeted Gene Deletion of Leishmania major UDP-galactopyranose Mutase Leads to Attenuated Virulence. *J. Biol. Chem.* **282**, 10498–10505, [https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M700023200](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M700023200) (2007).
- 8. Rattmann, Y. D., Malquevicz-Paiva, S. M., Iacomini, M. & Cordeiro, L. M. C. Galactofuranose-rich polysaccharides from Trebouxia sp. induce inflammation and exacerbate lethality by sepsis in mice. *Phytochemistry* **94**, 206–210, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2013.05.020) [phytochem.2013.05.020](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2013.05.020) (2013).
- 9. Tsuji, S. *et al*. Human Intelectin Is a Novel Soluble Lectin Tat Recognizes Galactofuranose in Carbohydrate Chains of Bacterial Cell Wall. *J. Biol. Chem.* **276**, 23456–23463, [https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M103162200](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M103162200) (2001).
- 10. Marino, C., Rinferch, A. & de Lederkremer, R. M. Galactofuranose antigens, a target for diagnosis of fungal infections in humans. *Future Science OA* **3**, FSO199, [https://doi.org/10.4155/fsoa-2017-0030](http://dx.doi.org/10.4155/fsoa-2017-0030) (2017).
- 11. Dykhuizen, E. C., May, J. F., Tongpenyai, A. & Kiessling, L. L. Inhibitors of UDP-Galactopyranose Mutase Twart Mycobacterial Growth. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 6706–6707, [https://doi.org/10.1021/ja8018687](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja8018687) (2008).
- 12. Dykhuizen, E. C. & Kiessling, L. L. Potent Ligands for Prokaryotic UDP-Galactopyranose Mutase Tat Exploit an Enzyme Subsite. *Org.Lett.* **11**, 193–196, [https://doi.org/10.1021/ol802094p](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol802094p) (2009).
- 13. Rose, N. L. *et al*. Expression, Purifcation, and Characterization of a Galactofuranosyltransferase Involved in Mycobacterium tuberculosis Arabinogalactan Biosynthesis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **128**, 6721–6729, [https://doi.org/10.1021/ja058254d](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja058254d) (2006).
- 14. Wheatley, R. W., Zheng, R. B., Richards, M. R., Lowary, T. L. & Ng, K. K. S. Tetrameric Structure of the GlfT2 Galactofuranosyltransferase Reveals a Scafold for the Assembly of Mycobacterial Arabinogalactan. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 28132–28143, [https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.347484](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.347484) (2012).
- 15. Szczepina, M. G., Zheng, R. B., Completo, G. C., Lowary, T. L. & Pinto, B. M. STD-NMR Studies Suggest that Two Acceptor Substrates for GlfT2, a Bifunctional Galactofuranosyltransferase Required for the Biosynthesis of Mycobacterium tuberculosis Arabinogalactan, Compete for the Same Binding Site. *ChemBioChem* **10**, 2052–2059, [https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200900202](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200900202) (2009).
- 16. Wing, C., Errey, J. C., Mukhopadhyay, B., Blanchard, J. S. & Field, R. A. Expression and initial characterization of WbbI, a putative D-Galf:alpha-D-Glc beta-1,6-galactofuranosyltransferase from Escherichia coli K-12. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **4**, 3945–3950, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/B609455D) [org/10.1039/B609455D](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/B609455D) (2006).
- 17. Komachi, Y. *et al*. gfsA encodes a novel galactofuranosyltransferase involved in biosynthesis of galactofuranose antigen of *O*-glycan in Aspergillus nidulans and Aspergillus fumigatus. *Mol. Microbiol.* **90**, 1054–1073, [https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12416](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12416) (2013).
- 18. Stoco, P. H. *et al*. Trypanosoma rangeli expresses a β-galactofuranosyl transferase. *Exp. Parasitol.* **130**, 246–252, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2011.12.005) [org/10.1016/j.exppara.2011.12.005](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exppara.2011.12.005) (2012).
- 19. Butler, D. Lost in translation. *Nature* **449**, 158–159, [https://doi.org/10.1038/449158a](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/449158a) (2007).
- 20. Cruz, I. *et al*. Leishmania/HIV co-infections in the second decade. *Indian J. Med. Res.* **123**, 357–388 (2006).
- 21. *Control of the Leishmaniases*. Vol. 949 (World Health Organization, 2010).
- 22. Descoteaux, A. & Turco, S. J. Glycoconjugates in Leishmania infectivity. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Molecular Basis of Disease* **1455**, 341–352, [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0925-4439\(99\)00065-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0925-4439(99)00065-4) (1999).
- 23. Crof, S. L., Seifert, K. & Yardley, V. Current scenario of drug development for leishmaniasis. *Indian J. Med. Res.* **123**, 399–410 (2006). 24. Bakker, H., Kleczka, B., Gerardy-Schahn, R. & Routier, F. H. Identifcation and partial characterization of two eukaryotic UDP-
- galactopyranose mutases. *Biol. Chem.* **386**, 657–661, [https://doi.org/10.1515/bc.2005.076](http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/bc.2005.076) (2005).
- 25. Belánová, M. *et al*. Galactosyl transferases in mycobacterial cell wall synthesis. *J. Bacteriol.* **190**, 1141–1145 (2008).
- 26. Peltier, P. *et al*. Synthetic UDP-furanoses as potent inhibitors of mycobacterial galactan biogenesis. *Chem. Biol.* **17**, 1356–1366, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2010.10.014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2010.10.014) (2010).
- 27. Lamotte, S., Späth, G. F., Rachidi, N. & Prina, E. Te enemy within: Targeting host–parasite interaction for antileishmanial drug discovery. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **11**, e0005480, [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005480](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005480) (2017).
- 28. McConville, M. J., Mullin, K. A., Ilgoutz, S. C. & Teasdale, R. D. Secretory Pathway of Trypanosomatid Parasites. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **66**, 122–154, [https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.66.1.122-154.2002](http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.66.1.122-154.2002) (2002).
- 29. Cabezas, Y. *et al*. Leishmania cell wall as a potent target for antiparasitic drugs. A focus on the glycoconjugates. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **13**, 8393–8404, [https://doi.org/10.1039/C5OB00563A](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/C5OB00563A) (2015).
- 30. Zhang, K., Barron, T., Turco, S. J. & Beverley, S. M. Te LPG1 gene family of Leishmania major. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **136**, 11–23, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2004.02.012](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2004.02.012) (2004).
- 31. Beverley, S. M. & Turco, S. J. Lipophosphoglycan (LPG) and the identification of virulence genes in the protozoan parasite Leishmania. *Trends Microbiol.* **6**, 35–40, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X\(97\)01180-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(97)01180-3) (2001).
- 32. Moradin, N. & Descoteaux, A. Leishmania promastigotes: building a safe niche within macrophages. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology* **2**, 121, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2012.00121](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2012.00121) (2012).
- 33. Smith, D. F., Peacock, C. S. & Cruz, A. K. Comparative genomics: From genotype to disease phenotype in the leishmaniases. *International Journal for Parasitology* **37**, 1173–1186, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.05.015](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.05.015) (2007).
- 34. Liu, D. & Uzonna, J. E. Te early interaction of Leishmania with macrophages and dendritic cells and its infuence on the host immune response. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology* **2**, 83, [https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2012.00083](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2012.00083) (2012).
- 35. Paranaíba, L. F. *et al*. Leishmania enriettii: biochemical characterisation of lipophosphoglycans (LPGs) and glycoinositolphospholipids (GIPLs) and infectivity to Cavia porcellus. *Parasites & Vectors* **8**, 31, [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-0633-8) [0633-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-0633-8) (2015).
- 36. Späth, G. F., Garraway, L. A., Turco, S. J. & Beverley, S. M. Te role(s) of lipophosphoglycan (LPG) in the establishment of Leishmania major infections in mammalian hosts. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100**, 9536–9541, [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1530604100](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1530604100) (2003).
- 37. Dereeper, A. *et al*. Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **36**, W465–W469, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn180) [org/10.1093/nar/gkn180](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn180) (2008).
- 38. Ivens, A. C. *et al*. Te Genome of the Kinetoplastid Parasite. *Leishmania major. Science* **309**, 436–442, [https://doi.org/10.1126/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1112680) [science.1112680](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1112680) (2005).
- 39. Späth, G. F. *et al*. Lipophosphoglycan is a virulence factor distinct from related glycoconjugates in the protozoan parasite Leishmania major. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **97**, 9258–9263, [https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.160257897](http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.160257897) (2000).
- 40. Ilg, T. Lipophosphoglycan is not required for infection of macrophages or mice by Leishmania mexicana. *EMBO J.* **19**, 1953–1962, [https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.9.1953](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.9.1953) (2000).
- 41. El-Sayed, N. M. et al. The Genome Sequence of *Trypanosoma cruzi*, Etiologic Agent of Chagas Disease. *Science* 309, 409-415, [https://](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1112631) [doi.org/10.1126/science.1112631](http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1112631) (2005).
- 42. Errey, J. C., Mukhopadhyay, B., Kartha, K. P. R. & Field, R. A. Flexible enzymatic and chemo-enzymatic approaches to a broad range of uridine-diphospho-sugars. *Chem. Commun*., 2706–2707, [https://doi.org/10.1039/B410184G](http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/B410184G) (2004).
- 43. <http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/>
- 44. Bradford, M. M. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* **72**, 248–254 (1976).
- 45. Rose, N. L. *et al*. Development of a coupled spectrophotometric assay for GlfT2, a bifunctional mycobacterial galactofuranosyltransferase. *Carbohydr. Res.* **343**, 2130–2139, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2008.03.023](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2008.03.023) (2008).
- 46. Cornish-Bowden, A. *Fundamentals of Enzyme Kinetics*. 4th edn, (Wiley-Blackwell, 2012).
- 47. Ubeda, J.-M. *et al*. Modulation of gene expression in drug resistant Leishmania is associated with gene amplifcation, gene deletion and chromosome aneuploidy. *Genome Biol.* **9**, R115, [https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-7-r115](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-7-r115) (2008).
- 48. Mukherjee, A. *et al*. Telomeric gene deletion and intrachromosomal amplifcation in antimony-resistant Leishmania. *Mol. Microbiol.* **88**, 189–202, [https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12178](http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12178) (2013).
- 49. Keresztessy, Z., Hughes, J., Kiss, L. & Hughes, M. A. Co-purifcation from Escherichia coli of a plant β-glucosidase-glutathione S-transferase fusion protein and the bacterial chaperonin GroEL. *Biochem. J.* **314**, 41–47, [https://doi.org/10.1042/bj3140041](http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/bj3140041) (1996).
- 50. Yamatsugu, K., Splain, R. A. & Kiessling, L. L. Fidelity and Promiscuity of a Mycobacterial Glycosyltransferase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **138**, 9205–9211, [https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b04481](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b04481) (2016).
- 51. [http://www.cazy.org.](http://www.cazy.org)
- 52. Lombard, V., Golaconda Ramulu, H., Drula, E., Coutinho, P. M. & Henrissat, B. Te carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, D490–D495, [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1178](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1178) (2014).
- 53. Engel, J., Schmalhorst, P. S., Dork-Bousset, T., Ferrières, V. & Routier, F. H. A single UDP-galactofuranose transporter is required for galactofuranosylation in Aspergillus fumigatus. *J. Biol. Chem.* **284**, 33859–33868, [https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.070219](http://dx.doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.070219) (2009).
- 54. Park, J. *et al*. Identifcation and functional analysis of two Golgi-localized UDP-galactofuranose transporters with overlapping functions in Aspergillus niger. *BMC microbiology* **15**, 253, [https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-015-0541-2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12866-015-0541-2) (2015).
- 55. Afroz, S., El-Ganiny, A. M., Sanders, D. A. & Kaminskyj, S. G. Roles of the Aspergillus nidulans UDP-galactofuranose transporter, UgtA in hyphal morphogenesis, cell wall architecture, conidiation, and drug sensitivity. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **48**, 896–903, [https://doi.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2011.06.001) [org/10.1016/j.fgb.2011.06.001](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fgb.2011.06.001) (2011).
- 56. Gantt, R. W., Gof, R. D., Williams, G. J. & Torson, J. S. Probing the Aglycon Promiscuity of an Engineered Glycosyltransferase. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **47**, 8889–8892, [https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200803508](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.200803508) (2008).
- 57. Chang, A., Singh, S., Phillips, G. N. & Torson, J. S. Glycosyltransferase structural biology and its role in the design of catalysts for glycosylation. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **22**, 800–808, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2011.04.013](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2011.04.013) (2011).
- 58. [https://www.brenda-enzymes.org/enzyme.php?ecno](https://www.brenda-enzymes.org/enzyme.php?ecno=5.4.99.9)=5.4.99.9.
- 59. Zhang, Q. & Liu, H.-W. Studies of UDP-Galactopyranose Mutase from Escherichia coli: An Unusual Role of Reduced FAD in Its Catalysis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **122**, 9065–9070, [https://doi.org/10.1021/ja001333z](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ja001333z) (2000).
- 60. Eppe, G. *et al*. Probing UDP-galactopyranose mutase binding pocket: A dramatic efect on substitution of the 6-position of UDPgalactofuranose. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **19**, 814–816, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.12.014](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.12.014) (2009).
- 61. Peltier, P., Daniellou, R., Nugier-Chauvin, C. & Ferrières, V. Versatile Synthesis of Rare Nucleotide Furanoses. *Org. Lett.* **9**, 5227–5230, [https://doi.org/10.1021/ol702392x](http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/ol702392x) (2007).
- 62. Peltier, P., Guegan, J.-P., Daniellou, R., Nugier-Chauvin, C. & Ferrieres, V. Stereoselective chemoenzymic synthesis of UDP-1,2-cisfuranoses from α,β-furanosyl 1-phosphates. *Eur. J. Org. Chem*., 5988–5994, [https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.200800742](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.200800742) (2008).

Acknowledgements

J.A. thanks the "Ministère de la Recherche et des Nouvelles Technologies" for the PhD scholarship. We also thank Prof. F. Routier (Hannover Medical School, Germany) for the generous gift of the genomic DNA from *L. major*, and Prof. V. Ferrières (ENSC of Rennes, France) for helpful discussions. We fnally thank Sebastien Santini - CNRS/AMU IGS UMR7256, France, for providing and maintaining Phylogeny webserver.

Author Contributions

P.L. and R.D. conceived and designed the study. J.A. performed cloning, overexpression and purifcation, as well as enzymatic studies, of the LPG1x family. C.C. performed the HRMS analysis. R.P.S. and R.B.Z. performed the chemical synthesis of the UDP-Gal*f*. J.A., P.L., T.L.L. and R.D. analyzed the data and wrote the main manuscript text. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35847-w.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35847-w)

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Tehn Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International \blacksquare License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

© The Author(s) 2018