

The LPG1x family from *Leishmania major* is constituted of rare eukaryotic galactofuranosyltransferases with unprecedented catalytic properties

Jihen Ati, Cyril Colas, Pierre Lafite, Ryan Sweeney, Ruixiang Blake Zheng, Todd Lowary, Richard Daniellou

▶ To cite this version:

Jihen Ati, Cyril Colas, Pierre Lafite, Ryan Sweeney, Ruixiang Blake Zheng, et al.. The LPG1x family from *Leishmania major* is constituted of rare eukaryotic galactofuranosyltransferases with unprecedented catalytic properties. Scientific Reports, 2018, 8, pp.147566. 10.1038/s41598-018-35847-w. hal-03478310

HAL Id: hal-03478310 https://univ-orleans.hal.science/hal-03478310v1

Submitted on 14 Dec 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS

Received: 15 June 2018 Accepted: 9 November 2018 Published online: 04 December 2018

OPEN The LPG1x family from Leishmania major is constituted of rare eukaryotic galactofuranosyltransferases with unprecedented catalytic properties

Jihen Ati¹, Cyril Colas¹, Pierre Lafite¹, Ryan P. Sweeney², Ruixiang Blake Zheng², Todd L. Lowary 2 & Richard Daniellou 1

Galactofuranosyltransferases are poorly described enzymes despite their crucial role in the virulence and the pathogenicity of numerous microorganisms. These enzymes are considered as potential targets for therapeutic action. In addition to the only well-characterised prokaryotic GlfT2 from Mycobacterium tuberculosis, four putative genes in Leishmania major were previously described as potential galactofuranosyltransferases. In this study, we have cloned, over-expressed, purified and fully determined the kinetic parameters of these four eukaryotic enzymes, thus demonstrating their unique potency in catalysing the transfer of the galactofuranosyl moiety into acceptors. Their individual promiscuity revealed to be different, as some of them could efficiently use NDP-pyranoses as donor substrates in addition to the natural UDP-galactofuranose. Such results pave the way for the development of chemoenzymatic synthesis of furanosyl-containing glycoconjugates as well as the design of improved drugs against leishmaniasis.

Glycosyltransferases (GTs) (E.C. 2.4.x.x) constitute a large class of enzymes involved in the synthesis of abundant complex glycosidic structures expressed in cells¹. These glycoconjugates are of upmost importance for the interaction between cells and for infection by pathogenic species². Amongst these GTs, galactofuranosyltransferases (GalfTs) are poorly described enzymes that catalyse the transfer of a galactofuranosyl moiety (Galf) from UDP- α -D-Galf to specific acceptor molecules (Fig. 1)^{3,4}. Noteworthy is that Galf is a five-membered ring sugar that is mainly found on the surface of many pathogenic species such as Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Aspergillus and Leishmania species^{5,6}. The peculiarity of this sugar arises from its high immunogenicity^{7,8} and the fact that it is totally absent from mammals⁵. The detection of Galf can therefore be of interest for diagnosis of infection^{9,10}. Even more interestingly, as it can be classified as a virulence factor, the inhibition of the biosynthesis of Galf-containing conjugates can lead to the development of promising inhibitors from which may arise powerful drugs against Multi-Drug-Resistant tuberculosis, for example^{11,12}. The unique structure of Galf in the glycosciences has therefore drawn the attention of many researchers due to its potential tremendous therapeutic applications.

Despite this interest, very few GalfTs have been studied to date. The most investigated microbial organism expressing GalfT is M. tuberculosis, whose GalfTs involved in cell wall arabinogalactan biosynthesis have been cloned and characterized¹³⁻¹⁵. In the galactan chain, Galf is alternatively bound by β -(1 \rightarrow 5) and β -(1 \rightarrow 6) glycosidic bonds. The two GalfTs involved in galactan chain initiation and elongation are bifunctional, and are able to catalyse the formation of two different glycosidic bonds. Besides those two, much less information is known on WbbI from Klebsiella pneumoniae¹⁶, which is another bifunctional GalfT, and on GfsA from Aspergillus¹⁷. In Trypanosoma rangeli, genes were identified as coding for GalfT, however, the enzymatic activity of the corresponding protein could not be demonstrated¹⁸.

¹Institut de Chimie Organique et Analytique, UMR CNRS 7311, Université d'Orléans, Rue de Chartres, BP6759, Orléans, Cedex 02, France. ²Alberta Glycomics Centre and Department of Chemistry, The University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, T6G 2G2, Canada. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.D. (email: richard.daniellou@univ-orleans.fr)



Figure 1. Enzymatic incorporation of a Galf unit from UDP- α -D-Galf onto an acceptor catalysed by a GalfT.

Leishmaniasis belongs to the group of Neglected Tropical Diseases, as defined by World Health Organization (WHO), which includes diseases that are endemic to Third World countries. More than 20 species of parasites are responsible for the yearly infection of two million people, threatening about 350 million people worldwide. It is estimated that more than 12 million people are infected by the parasite in nearly 100 countries¹⁹. This disease can exhibit several clinical forms, from the common cutaneous form, which is generally a self-healing disease, to the visceral form (Kala-azar) that is the most severe, usually fatal manifestation of *Leishmania* infection. Moreover, leishmaniasis has emerged as one of the most important opportunistic infection associated with HIV. In southern Europe, 70% of visceral leishmaniasis are associated with HIV infection²⁰. For these reasons, leishmaniasis is considered as one of the most fatal Neglected Tropical Disease, and has drawn WHO's attention concerning its diagnosis and treatment²¹. Efficient prophylactic measures, including safe vaccines, are not available, and effective and affordable chemotherapy is lacking. Current treatments that rely on toxic antimony-containing compounds or diamidines, require strict medical supervision and are threatened by the spread of drug resistance²². Other medications such as amphotericin B or miltefosine offer an alternative for treatment but are also toxic and expensive. In addition, the emergence of resistant strains is expected for miltefosine because of its long half-life²³. There is thus an urgent need to identify new chemotherapeutic agents for the treatment of this disease.

The discovery of new antiparasitic compounds exhibiting low toxicity and high specificity for Leishmania relies on the identification of new therapeutic targets. The first pyranose-furanose mutase from an eukaryotic organism has been recently characterized in Leishmania²⁴. Targeted gene deletion of this enzyme in Leishmania major led to attenuated virulence, establishing that Galf contributes significantly to L. major pathogenesis⁷. Investigations on GalfT's have started with expression and isolation of the *M. tuberculosis* enzymes²⁵. The nature of the reactions catalyzed (processing transferases) and the structure of the Galf-containing glycans in M. tuberculosis are very different from the Leishmania system. However, studies of M. tuberculosis GalfTs have provided data relevant for the design of antituberculosis agents^{25,26}. In Leishmania, galactofuranose-containing glycoconjugates such as lipophosphoglycans (LPGs), glycosylinositolphospholipids (GIPLs) and glycoproteins were reported as playing important role in parasite infection process (Fig. 2)²⁷⁻³⁰. Moreover all these structures have important functions in the parasite lifecycle³¹. They play a key role in growth and communication between the parasite and mammalian cells³². In addition, they are essential for the binding and detachment of the parasite from the midgut of the insect vector and therefore for the transmission of the parasite to the mammalian host^{33–35}. They also confer resistance to complement-mediated lysis and inhibit phagolysosomal fusion³⁶. Therefore, to permit a better understanding of the implication of each Galf-containing glycoconjugates in cell wall pathogenesis, it is important to identify and characterize the GalfTs that are involved in their assembly³⁷.

The genome of Leishmania major was previously screened by Zhang and co-workers, and an analysis led to the identification of four putative genes (lpg1, lpg1L, lpg1R, and lpg1G) that could encode for GalfTs, but that share less than 20% similarity of sequence with known Gal/Ts from other species^{30,38}. Knock-out studies enabled the authors to identify the enzyme LPG1 as the GalfT involved in Galf attachment during LPG biosynthesis³⁹. The generation of knock-out mutants enabled subsequent studies to identify LPG as necessary for insect infection, as well as critical for parasite virulence and survival in the early stages of the human infection, although some controversy still remains depending on the species 31,39,40 . Deletion of *lpg1*, *lpg1L*, or *lpg1R* (single or multiple deletions) helped the authors to attribute respective functional roles of these three enzymes (Fig. 2A)³⁰, although no information on LPG1G nor study of any of the four proteins at a molecular level was available. Moreover, homologous enzymes are also present in other Leishmania species (infantum, donovani, braziliensis, mexicana) (Fig. 2B). LPG1R is only present as a truncated protein in L. braziliensis, thus the protein was not used for alignment and tree generation. All four proteins share 52-92% homology (27-30% identity) with L. major orthologs. Studying these GalfTs is therefore crucial to understand the chemistry of the GalfT enzymatic reaction, and their biological role in L. major. Moreover, galactofuranose residues were also detected in glycoconjugates of Trypanosma species⁵; however, only genes coding for putative galactofuranosyltransferases were described in *T. rangeli* and in *T.* cruzi^{18,41}. Biochemical tools and knowledge of these enzymes developed in L. major could thus serve as a template for other pathogenic systems, especially trypanosomatids for which no satisfactory treatments are available to date. Here we report the first cloning, overexpression, purification and biochemical characterization of these four proteins from L. major as well as the identification of their enzymatic function.



Figure 2. (**A**) Putative biological roles of *Leishmania major* GalfTs. (**B**) Phylogeny of GalfTs between main pathological *Leishmania* species (*L. major, L. infantum, L. donovani, L. mexicana, and L. braziliensis*). The bottom bar scales the genetic change (*ie* ratio of substitutions per site) along horizontal branches. Starting from a MUSCLE alignment of LPG1, LPG1G, LPG1L, and LPG1R sequences from TriTrypDB database in *L. major* (resp. LmjF.25.0010, LmjF.32.3990, LmjF.26.0550, LmjF.33.0300), *L. infantum* (LinJ.25.0010, LinJ.32.4140, LinJ.26.0520, LinJ.33.0330), *L. donovani* (LdBPK_250010.1, LdBPK_324140.1, LdBPK_260520.1, LdBPK_330330.1), *L. mexicana* (LmxM.25.0010, LmxM.31.3990, LmxM.26.0550, LmxM.32.0300), and *L. braziliensis* (LbrM.25.0010, LbrM.32.4230, LbrM.26.0650), the tree was built using PhyML software using Neighbourg Joining algorithm in the Phylogeny.fr web server³⁷.

Material and Methods

Chemical and biological reagents. Chemical reagents including buffer, salts, sugars, NAD⁺, pyruvate kinase and lactic dehydrogenase enzymes from rabbit muscle were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. UDP-pyranoses and pNP-sugars were purchased from Carbosynth (Compton, UK), pET-vectors from Novagen and pMal vectors from New England Biolabs. UDP- α -D-Galf was enzymatically prepared, purified and characterized following the procedure previously developed by Prof. Field's team⁴².

Cloning and expression of the four putatives genes. The four genes *lpg1*, *lpg1L*, *lpg1R* and *lpg1G* were amplified by PCR using *L. major* genomic DNA, which was kindly provided by Dr Françoise Routier (Hannover Medical School), as template. Specific primers described in Table S1 were designed to amplify genes that encode for proteins without the transmembrane domain (according to TMHMM prediction server)⁴³. *lpg1*, *lpg1L* and *lpg1R* loci (TritrypDB Accession numbers LmjF25.0010, LmjF26.0550, LmjF.33.0300), and the 3 identical lpg1G genes copies located in 3 distinctive loci (TritrypDB Accession LmjF.22.3990, LmjF.05.1230, LmjF.19.1650) were used as template for primer design. The amplified region for *lpg1*, *lpg1L*, *lpg1R* and *lpg1G* excluded the transmembrane domains (resp. nucleotides 1–117, 1–120, 1–120, and 1–139). Amplicons were cloned into pMAL-c2X vector to generate *lpg1*-pMAL, *lpg1G*-pMAL, *lpg1L*-pMal, and *lpg1R*-pMal plasmids (Figs S1–S4). The sequencing of the DNA performed by Eurofins Genomics validated the cloned constructs. The corresponding MBP-fused protein thus contained respectively residues 40–396, 41–421, 41–592, and 47–599.

Plasmids were transformed into *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3) strain. Clones were cultivated on LB Broth medium with the appropriate antibiotics (Chloramphenicol $30 \mu g/mL$ and Ampicillin $50 \mu g/mL$) at $37 \,^{\circ}$ C until optical density at 600 nm reached 0.6. Overexpression was then induced with 100μ M of IPTG and the cultures were incubated overnight at $30 \,^{\circ}$ C. Two liters of culture were harvested and resuspended in Tris 50 mM pH 8.0 Buffer containing NaCl 25 mM and 1 mg/mL of lysozyme. Resuspension was then incubated for 30 min with stirring at $4 \,^{\circ}$ C before freeze–thaw lysis, followed by sonication. After centrifugation (40000 g, 20 min, $4 \,^{\circ}$ C), the supernatant was filtered and loaded on Maltose Binding Protein (MBP) affinity column (MBP Trap HP-1mL, GE Healthcare), and MBP-tagged proteins were then eluted according to manufacturer instructions. LPG1x recombinant proteins were finally purified by size exclusion chromatography (SuperdexTM 200 10/300 GL, GE Healthcare). The proteins of interest were considered as pure enough (>95%) according to SDS-PAGE to perform enzymatic assays. The concentration of contaminants was too low to enable their identification by mass fingerprinting after gel excision. The molecular weight, purity, and concentration were assayed by respectively MS, SDS-PAGE, and the Bradford assay⁴⁴.

Coupled spectrophotometric assay. Enzymatic assays were performed in 96-well microtiter plate following protocol previously described⁴⁵. A few parameters were modified: the final volume of the reaction was 200 µL and the media contained 0.2–10 µg of enzyme. Commercially available acceptors were tested at a final concentration of 1 mM. Twenty-nine commercially available carbohydrates were tested as acceptors including hexoses (D-Glc, D-Man, D-Glc NH_2 , D-GlcNAc), monosaccharides (Me- α -D-Glc, Me- α -D-Man and Oct- α -D-Man), *p*NP-furanoses (*p*NP- α -L-Araf, *p*NP- β -D-Galf and *p*NP- β -D-Ribf), *p*NP-hexoses (*p*NP- α - and β -D-Glc, *p*NP- α - an

and D-Melibiose). Controls *i.e.* reaction's mixture missing the donor, the acceptor or the enzyme, were performed in parallel. The reactions were monitored at 340 nm using a MultiskanTM GO (Thermo Scientific) microplate reader for up to 20 min with 10s intervals. UDP formation rates were assumed to be equal to NADH consumption rates, and kinetic parameters were calculated by fitting saturation curves (obtained from the average of triplicate measurements) with standard the Michaelis–Menten equation (Eq. 1)⁴⁶, using Prism 6 (GraphPad) (see Fig. S5).

$$v_0 = \frac{V_{\max} \times [S]}{K_{\rm M} + [S]} \tag{1}$$

Michaelis–Menten equation. [S] is the substrate concentration, v_0 and V_{max} are, respectively, the initial and the apparent maximum velocity rate, and K_M is the apparent Michaelis constant.

Glycosylation reaction assay and High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry (HRMS) analysis. A magnetically stirred 3 mL solution containing methyl α-D-mannopyranoside (25 μmol, 5 mg), the UDP-sugar (5μmol, 2.8 mg), 50 mM Tris pH 8, 20 mM MgCl₂ and 0.5 mg of the Gal/T to be tested was prepared. The reaction was incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Analytical thin layer chromatography of the reaction mixture was performed on silica gel aluminium supported plates. The eluent was composed of ethyl acetate, methanol and water with the ratio of 7/2/1. Sugars were detected with orcinol solution (95% ethanol 100%, 5% H₂SO₄ and orcinol 200 mg) after heating at 100 °C (see Fig. S6). After reaction solvent evaporation, 1 mL of acetic anhydride and 1 mL of pyridine were added and the reaction was left at room temperature for 48 h. Then, the residue was concentrated through co-evaporation with toluene. The reaction mixture was then resuspended and the peracetylated sugar was isolated by extraction into CH₂Cl₂. Finally, high-resolution accurate mass measurements were performed in positive mode with an ESI source on a Q-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker MaXis) with an accuracy tolerance of 2 ppm by the "Fédération de Recherche" ICOA/CBM (FR2708) analytical platform (see Fig. S7).

Results and Discussion

Expression of 4 *lpq1X* family genes as recombinant soluble proteins. The genes *lpg1*, *lpg1L*, *lpg1R* and lpg1G were amplified by PCR from Leishmania major genomic DNA, using primers designed to remove the N-terminal transmembrane domain. Interestingly, unlike other lpg1X genes, lpg1G has a particular genetic context. In L. major genome, three identical copies of lpg1G gene are found in three distinct loci, all located near the telomeric end of the corresponding chromosomes. An increase of the copy number of genes near the telomeric end of chromosomes in *Leishmania* has been related to drug resistance mechanisms^{47,48}. However, in the case of *lpg1G gene*, the copy numbers are located in separate chromosomes, making this gene a unique example for which the biological function and significance of amplification has still to be understood. For all 4 genes, the amplified fragments were initially cloned into different pET expression vectors (containing N- or both N- and C-terminal His-tag) such as pET-24b(+), pET-28a(+) and pET-32a(+) and transformed in various strains of E. coli. Each His-tagged recombinant protein was over-expressed and purified but the obtained proteins were very difficult to purify, as they formed strong complexes with the GroEL chaperonin (as identified by HRMS) and very low yields were obtained. Different literature protocols were tested to remove the contaminant but none was successful⁴⁹. Thus, in our hands, pET vectors were found not suitable for expressing the LPG1x proteins. To overcome this issue, the *lpg1X* genes were cloned in the pMAL-c2X expression vector to obtain MBP-tagged proteins. Constructs were transformed into E. coli Rosetta (DE3) expression strain and proteins were over-expressed at 30 °C. Chemical and physical lysing technics using lysozyme, heat shock and sonication were performed, followed by affinity and size exclusion chromatography. Finally, the pure desired recombinant proteins (Fig. 3) were obtained with a high yield of 5 mg/L for LPG1 and LPG1R and 10 mg/L of culture for LPG1G and LPG1L.

Enzymatic assays. We used a coupled spectrophotometic assay to assess GalfT activity⁴⁵. This assay correlates the formation of UDP with NADH consumption by coupling the activity of GalfT to two enzymes, pyruvate kinase (PK) and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH). On the twenty-nine commercially available carbohydrates that were tested as acceptors, including hexoses, monosaccharides, *p*NP-furanoses, *p*NP-hexoses and disaccharides, only methyl α -D-mannopyrannoside (Me-Man*p*) efficiently reacted as an acceptor when used at 1 mM. It was therefore used as a simple acceptor, instead of synthesizing the complex natural acceptor. The acceptor ability of Me-Man*p* was anticipated, as a Galf–Man*p* linkage is present in both LPG and GIPL (see Fig. 2).

LPG1, LPG1L, LPG1R and LPG1G are galactofuranosyltransferases. Using Me-Manp as the acceptor, kinetics data was obtained (three replicate experiments) with the respective enzymes. Michaelis-Menten analysis of the data is shown in Table 1. All four enzymes recognized UDP-Galf with apparent $K_{\rm M}$ values ranging from 0.02 to 0.55 mM. Based on that parameter, the proteins can be clustered in two groups: LPG1, LPG1G, and LPG1L, which strongly bind to UDP-Galf with K_M 's in the low μ M level, and LPG1R, which binds UDP-Galf more weakly. The UDP-Galf $K_{\rm M}$ value for LPG1R is comparable to the one reported for mycobacterial GlfT2 (0.38 mM). Moreover, the k_{cat} values of LPG1, LPG1G and LPG1L range from 5,000 to 30,000 min⁻¹, which are superior to the catalytic rate for LPG1R, which is at least 10-fold time lower with a value of 636 min^{-1} (and comparable to the catalytic rate reported for GlfT2). The low enzymatic activity observed for LPG1R, in comparison with the three other Gal/Is might be explained by uncorrected folding of the enzyme, because of the removal of N-terminal transmembrane domain. However, this hypothesis could be assessed, as for all other LPG1x expressed proteins, no loss of activity could be observed. Moreover, as all four proteins were soluble and no preliminary structural data (eg. Circular Dichroism) on full-length protein is available, validation of proper folding could not be confirmed. These marked differences in the Michaelis constant and turnover rate are found also when estimating the catalytic efficiency (k_{cat}/K_M) of LPG1, LPG1G and LPG1L yielding values higher than 300,000 min⁻¹ mM⁻¹ as opposed as 1,145 min⁻¹ mM⁻¹ and 1,131 min⁻¹ mM⁻¹ for LPG1R and GlfT2 respectively. In summary LPG1,





	Enzyme	Apparent K_M^{a} (mM)	k_{cat}^{a} (min ⁻¹)	k_{cat}/K_M^a (min ⁻¹ mM ⁻¹)
	LPG1	0.07 ± 0.07	30,750±62	410,000
	LPG1G	0.03 ± 0.04	$12,\!352\pm58$	393,655
UDP Galf	LPG1L	0.02 ± 0.02	5,296±63	290,800
	LPG1R	0.55 ± 0.68	636 ± 76	1,145
	GlfT2 ¹⁴	0.38 ± 0.06	430 ± 35	1,131

Table 1. Kinetic parameters of leishmanial GalfTs LPG1, LPG1G, LPG1L, LPG1R compared with
mycobacterial GlfT2 for UDP- α -D-Galf. ^aMe-Manp was used as the acceptor.

.....

LPG1G and LPG1L demonstrated strong *in vitro* GalfTs properties, at least 300 times higher than LPG1R and the previously reported mycobacterial GlfT2 from *M. tuberculosis*. However, in *M. tuberculosis* GlfT2 is a polymerizing enzyme that adds around 30 Galf units, linked by alternating by β -(1 \rightarrow 5) and β -(1 \rightarrow 6) glycosidic bonds⁵⁰. GlfT2 belongs to the CAZY glycosyltransferase (GT) family 2^{51,52}, which contains mainly polymerizing enzymes such as the cellulose or the chitin synthase. On the contrary, the LPG1x enzymes belong to the CAZY GT family 40, which only contains putative GalfTs from trypanosomatids. In *Leishmania* species, GalfTs introduce only one Galf residue into the 3-OH position of the mannosyl acceptor with β -selectivity for example in LPG and GIPL (see Fig. 2).

In addition, after subsequent peracetylation of the mixture following concentration, HRMS analysis demonstrated the presence of the corresponding disaccharide, indicated by the presence of a peak at m/z = 673.1950corresponding to the exact mass of the sodium adducts of the peracetylated and glycosylated methyl α-D-mannopyranoside product (see Fig. S7). Unfortunately ¹H, HMBC or HMQC NMR experiments lead to weak signals, probably due i) to the low amount of dissacharide or most probably, ii) to the presence of a mixture of (1-2, 1-3, 1-4 and/or 1-6) regioisomers. It is noteworthy that Galf-man p containing structures can also be found in other pathogenic microorganisms such as Cryphonectria parasitica (1-2 linkage), Aspergillus (1-3 or 1-6 linkages) or Paraccidioides brasiliensis (1-6 linkage)⁵. Still, this HMRS data unambiguously confirms that the LPG1x family can catalyse the transfer of a Galf residue to Me-Manp. These GalfT activities are unique both (i) in term of their high catalytic efficiency toward the UDP-Galf and (ii) because they are the first, and to date only, kinetically characterized enzymes from the CAZY GT family 40. Specially given their high turnover values, comparable to those of sucrose or glycogen phosphorylases, and although it will require more studies to discover efficient acceptors for these enzymes, they constitute original biocatalytic tools that will be useful for the chemoenzymatic synthesis of galactofuranosyl-containing conjugates. Such compounds are expected to be useful biological probes for studying cytosolic mutases or eukaryotic transporters⁵³⁻⁵⁵ present in the Golgi membranes of Leishmania.

	UDP-pyranose	Apparent K_M^{a} (mM)	k_{cat}^{a} (min ⁻¹)	$\frac{k_{cal}/K_M^{\ a}}{(\min^{-1}\mathrm{m}\mathrm{M}^{-1})}$
	UDP α-D-Galp	0.23 ± 0.02	320 ± 22	1,400
	UDP α-D-Glcp	n.d	n.d	n.d
LPG1	UDP α-D-GlcA	n.d	n.d	n.d
	GDP α-D-Glcp	n.d	n.d	n.d
	GDP α-D-Manp	n.d	n.d	n.d
	UDPα-D-Galp	0.005 ± 0.001	132 ± 10	27,978
	UDP α-D-Glcp	n.d	n.d	n.d
LPG1G	UDP α-D-GlcA	n.d	n.d	n.d
	GDP α-D-Glcp	n.d	n.d	n.d
	GDP α-D-Man <i>p</i>	n.d	n.d	n.d
	UDPα-D-Galp	0.05 ± 0.01	468 ± 50	9,900
	UDP α-D-Glcp	0.006 ± 0.001	205 ± 26	34,700
LPG1L	UDP α-D-GlcA	n.d	n.d	n.d
	GDP α-D-Glcp	0.043 ± 0.005	24 ± 3	500
	GDP α-D-Man <i>p</i>	0.032 ± 0.005	86 ± 6	2,860
	UDPα-D-Galp	n.d	n.d	n.d
	UDP α-D-Glcp	0.038 ± 0.005	303 ± 33	7,994
LPG1R	UDP α-D-GlcA	n.d	n.d	n.d
	GDP α-D-Glcp	n.d	n.d	n.d
	GDP α-D-Manp	n.d	n.d	n.d

Table 2. Kinetic values of LPG1, LPG1G, LPG1L and LPG1R for UDP-D-pyranoses (n.d: no enzymatic activity detected). ^aMe-Man*p* was used as the acceptor.

.....

LPG1x family can also use UDP-pyranoses as sugar donors. Five NDP-pyranoses were also tested with each LPG1x GalfT (Table 2) so to probe the substrate specificity of these enzymes with artificial donors. Unexpectedly, all four of the enzymes were able to use UDP-pyranoses as donor substrates. Preparative reactions were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and the reactions were followed by TLC (see Fig. S6). The product of these reactions exhibited an $R_f = 0.16$, similar to the maltose used as a reference. HRMS analysis of the peracetylated sugar products enabled the identification of the corresponding disaccharide (see Fig. S7). None of the four-recombinant proteins was able to use UDP α -D-glucuronic acid as a substrate. LPG1, LPG1G and LPG1R were the less promiscuous as they were only able to recognize only one UDP-pyranose. LPG1 and LPG1G recognize UDP- α -D-Galp with respective k_{cat}/K_{M} values of 1,400 min⁻¹ mM⁻¹ and 27,978 min⁻¹ mM⁻¹. This is far lower than for the UDP-Galf and this is mainly due to a much lower k_{cat} . Indeed, the apparent K_M is still in the sub-mM range, even as low as 5μ M for LPG1G. LPG1R only recognized UDP- α -D-Glcp a similar kinetic properties to the two previous GalfTs for the UDP-Galp, *i.e.* a low $k_{cat}/K_{\rm M}$ (7,994 min⁻¹ mM⁻¹). Still this is close to a seven-fold increase as compared to UDP-Galf and therefore LPG1R exhibits a better glucopyranosyltransferase than galactofuranosyltransferase activity at least in vitro. LPG1L was the most promiscuous enzyme in this respect as it was able to catalyse the reaction with not only UDP- α -D-Galp and UDP- α -D-Glcp but also very surprisingly with $GDP-\alpha$ -D-Manp and $GDP-\alpha$ -D-Glcp even with lower specificity. Once again, with LPG1L GalfT the apparent $K_{\rm M}$ values were in the tens of millimolar range and the $k_{\rm cat}$ values were as low as 24 min⁻¹. NDP-pyranoses were all recognized in a similar manner but UDP nucleotide sugars led to faster reactions than their GDP counterparts by 50 to 100-fold. Among the few characterized GalfTs, only M. tuberculosis GlfT2 has been reported to be able to use and incorporate analogues of galactofuranose (deoxy and fluoro derivatives)²⁶. However, LPG1x exhibit higher substrate promiscuity, as they can utilize UDP-pyranose donors.

The ability of LPG1L to recognize and utilize a diversity of nucleotide-sugar donors places this enzyme among the most promiscuous natural and characterized glycosyltransferase reported to date in term of the donor. This finding also underscores this protein as a promising tool for glycorandomization, at least for transferring carbohydrate residues to α -Man*p*-containing acceptors^{56,57}. The hypothesis that this activity results from the residual activity of a contaminant mutase from *E. coli* followed by classical GalfT activity was ruled out for three reasons: (i) UDP- α -D-Glc*p*, GDP- α -D-Man*p* and GDP- α -D-Glc*p* are not reported substrates of the mutase⁵⁸, (ii) the presence of a residual band at 42 kDa on SDS-PAGE corresponding to the mass of the mutase was not observed (see Fig. 3)⁵⁹, and (iii) the obtained kinetic parameters are incompatible with those observed for the mutase as a contaminant⁶⁰.

Conclusion

Despite the natural occurrence of the galactofuranose in many pathogenic microorganisms, the pathways involved in its biosynthesis remain poorly understood. This is due, in part, to a lack of knowledge of the corresponding enzymes involved in its incorporation into glycoconjugates (mutases, transferases, transporters, hydro-lases). The tedious synthesis of the required donor substrate, UDP- α -D-Galf, is another barrier^{4,61,62}. This work provides the first enzymatic characterization of eukaryotic GalfTs and substantially increases our knowledge of these rare enzymes from the CAZY GT family 40. In addition to UDP- α -D-Galf, these enzymes proved *in vitro*

to be able to use some NDP-pyranoses as substrates, thus indicated that they are among the most promiscuous natural glycosyltransferases to date. These unique biocatalysts also proved to be stable and robust for days and can now serve for the chemo-enzymatic incorporation of Galf moiety into complex glycoconjugates.

References

- 1. Ati, J., Lafite, P. & Daniellou, R. Enzymatic synthesis of glycosides: from natural O- and N-glycosides to rare C- and S-glycosides. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 13, 1857-1865, https://doi.org/10.3762/bjoc.13.180 (2017).
- 2. Varki, A. Biological roles of glycans. Glycobiology 27, 3–49, https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cww086 (2017).
- Richards, M. R. & Lowary, T. L. Chemistry and Biology of Galactofuranose-Containing Polysaccharides. ChemBioChem 10, 1920-1938, https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200900208 (2009).
- 4. Chlubnova, I. et al. Specific and non-specific enzymes for furanosyl-containing conjugates: biosynthesis, metabolism, and chemoenzymatic synthesis. Carbohydr. Res. 356, 44-61, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2012.04.002 (2012)
- 5. Peltier, P., Euzen, R., Daniellou, R., Nugier-Chauvin, C. & Ferrières, V. Recent knowledge and innovations related to hexofuranosides: structure, synthesis and applications. Carbohydr. Res. 343, 1897-1923, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2008.02.010 (2008).
- 6. Tefsen, B., Ram, A. F. J., van Die, I. & Routier, F. H. Galactofuranose in eukaryotes: aspects of biosynthesis and functional impact. Glycobiology 22, 456-469, https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwr144 (2012).
- 7. Kleczka, B. et al. Targeted Gene Deletion of Leishmania major UDP-galactopyranose Mutase Leads to Attenuated Virulence. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 10498-10505, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M700023200 (2007).
- 8. Rattmann, Y. D., Malquevicz-Paiva, S. M., Iacomini, M. & Cordeiro, L. M. C. Galactofuranose-rich polysaccharides from Trebouxia sp. induce inflammation and exacerbate lethality by sepsis in mice. Phytochemistry 94, 206-210, https://doi.org/10.1016/j. phytochem.2013.05.020 (2013).
- 9. Tsuji, S. et al. Human Intelectin Is a Novel Soluble Lectin That Recognizes Galactofuranose in Carbohydrate Chains of Bacterial Cell Wall. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 23456-23463, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M103162200 (2001)
- 10. Marino, C., Rinflerch, A. & de Lederkremer, R. M. Galactofuranose antigens, a target for diagnosis of fungal infections in humans. Future Science OA 3, FSO199, https://doi.org/10.4155/fsoa-2017-0030 (2017).
- 11. Dykhuizen, E. C., May, J. F., Tongpenyai, A. & Kiessling, L. L. Inhibitors of UDP-Galactopyranose Mutase Thwart Mycobacterial Growth. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 6706-6707, https://doi.org/10.1021/ja8018687 (2008).
- Dykhuizen, E. C. & Kiessling, L. L. Potent Ligands for Prokaryotic UDP-Galactopyranose Mutase That Exploit an Enzyme Subsite. 12. Org.Lett. 11, 193-196, https://doi.org/10.1021/ol802094p (2009).
- 13. Rose, N. L. et al. Expression, Purification, and Characterization of a Galactofuranosyltransferase Involved in Mycobacterium tuberculosis Arabinogalactan Biosynthesis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128, 6721-6729, https://doi.org/10.1021/ja058254d (2006).
- 14. Wheatley, R. W., Zheng, R. B., Richards, M. R., Lowary, T. L. & Ng, K. K. S. Tetrameric Structure of the GlfT2 Galactofuranosyltransferase Reveals a Scaffold for the Assembly of Mycobacterial Arabinogalactan. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 28132-28143, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.347484 (2012).
- 15. Szczepina, M. G., Zheng, R. B., Completo, G. C., Lowary, T. L. & Pinto, B. M. STD-NMR Studies Suggest that Two Acceptor Substrates for GlfT2, a Bifunctional Galactofuranosyltransferase Required for the Biosynthesis of Mycobacterium tuberculosis Arabinogalactan, Compete for the Same Binding Site. ChemBioChem 10, 2052-2059, https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.200900202 (2009)
- 16. Wing, C., Errey, J. C., Mukhopadhyay, B., Blanchard, J. S. & Field, R. A. Expression and initial characterization of WbbI, a putative D-Galf alpha-D-Glc beta-1,6-galactofuranosyltransferase from Escherichia coli K-12. Org. Biomol. Chem. 4, 3945-3950, https://doi. org/10.1039/B609455D (2006).
- 17. Komachi, Y. et al. gfsA encodes a novel galactofuranosyltransferase involved in biosynthesis of galactofuranose antigen of O-glycan in Aspergillus nidulans and Aspergillus fumigatus. Mol. Microbiol. 90, 1054-1073, https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12416 (2013).
- Stoco, P. H. et al. Trypanosoma rangeli expresses a β-galactofuranosyl transferase. Exp. Parasitol. 130, 246–252, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.exppara.2011.12.005 (2012).
- 19. Butler, D. Lost in translation. Nature 449, 158-159, https://doi.org/10.1038/449158a (2007).
- 20. Cruz, I. et al. Leishmania/HIV co-infections in the second decade. Indian J. Med. Res. 123, 357-388 (2006).
- 21. Control of the Leishmaniases. Vol. 949 (World Health Organization, 2010).
- 22. Descoteaux, A. & Turco, S. J. Glycoconjugates in Leishmania infectivity. Biochim. Biophys. Acta Molecular Basis of Disease 1455, 341-352, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0925-4439(99)00065-4 (1999).
- 23. Croft, S. L., Seifert, K. & Yardley, V. Current scenario of drug development for leishmaniasis. Indian J. Med. Res. 123, 399-410 (2006). 24. Bakker, H., Kleczka, B., Gerardy-Schahn, R. & Routier, F. H. Identification and partial characterization of two eukaryotic UDP-
- galactopyranose mutases. Biol. Chem. 386, 657-661, https://doi.org/10.1515/bc.2005.076 (2005).
- 25. Belánová, M. et al. Galactosyl transferases in mycobacterial cell wall synthesis. J. Bacteriol. 190, 1141-1145 (2008).
- 26. Peltier, P. et al. Synthetic UDP-furanoses as potent inhibitors of mycobacterial galactan biogenesis. Chem. Biol. 17, 1356-1366, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chembiol.2010.10.014 (2010).
- Lamotte, S., Späth, G. F., Rachidi, N. & Prina, E. The enemy within: Targeting host-parasite interaction for antileishmanial drug 27 discovery. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 11, e0005480, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0005480 (2017).
- 28 McConville, M. J., Mullin, K. A., Ilgoutz, S. C. & Teasdale, R. D. Secretory Pathway of Trypanosomatid Parasites. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 66, 122-154, https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.66.1.122-154.2002 (2002).
- 29. Cabezas, Y. et al. Leishmania cell wall as a potent target for antiparasitic drugs. A focus on the glycoconjugates. Org. Biomol. Chem. 13, 8393-8404, https://doi.org/10.1039/C5OB00563A (2015).
- 30. Zhang, K., Barron, T., Turco, S. J. & Beverley, S. M. The LPG1 gene family of Leishmania major. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 136, 11-23, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molbiopara.2004.02.012 (2004).
- 31 Beverley, S. M. & Turco, S. J. Lipophosphoglycan (LPG) and the identification of virulence genes in the protozoan parasite Leishmania. Trends Microbiol. 6, 35-40, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-842X(97)01180-3 (2001)
- 32. Moradin, N. & Descoteaux, A. Leishmania promastigotes: building a safe niche within macrophages. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology 2, 121, https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2012.00121 (2012).
 33. Smith, D. F., Peacock, C. S. & Cruz, A. K. Comparative genomics: From genotype to disease phenotype in the leishmaniases.
- International Journal for Parasitology 37, 1173-1186, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2007.05.015 (2007).
- 34. Liu, D. & Uzonna, J. E. The early interaction of Leishmania with macrophages and dendritic cells and its influence on the host immune response. Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology 2, 83, https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2012.00083 (2012).
- 35. Paranaíba, L. F. et al. Leishmania enriettii: biochemical characterisation of lipophosphoglycans (LPGs) and glycoinositolphospholipids (GIPLs) and infectivity to Cavia porcellus. Parasites & Vectors 8, 31, https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-015-0633-8 (2015).
- 36. Späth, G. F., Garraway, L. A., Turco, S. J. & Beverley, S. M. The role(s) of lipophosphoglycan (LPG) in the establishment of Leishmania major infections in mammalian hosts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 9536-9541, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1530604100 (2003).
- 37. Dereeper, A. et al. Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. Nucleic Acids Res. 36, W465-W469, https://doi. org/10.1093/nar/gkn180 (2008).

- Ivens, A. C. et al. The Genome of the Kinetoplastid Parasite. Leishmania major. Science 309, 436–442, https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1112680 (2005).
- Späth, G. F. et al. Lipophosphoglycan is a virulence factor distinct from related glycoconjugates in the protozoan parasite Leishmania major. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 9258–9263, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.160257897 (2000).
- Ilg, T. Lipophosphoglycan is not required for infection of macrophages or mice by Leishmania mexicana. EMBO J. 19, 1953–1962, https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.9.1953 (2000).
- El-Sayed, N. M. et al. The Genome Sequence of Trypanosoma cruzi, Etiologic Agent of Chagas Disease. Science 309, 409–415, https:// doi.org/10.1126/science.1112631 (2005).
- Errey, J. C., Mukhopadhyay, B., Kartha, K. P. R. & Field, R. A. Flexible enzymatic and chemo-enzymatic approaches to a broad range of uridine-diphospho-sugars. *Chem. Commun.*, 2706–2707, https://doi.org/10.1039/B410184G (2004).
- 43. http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TMHMM/
- Bradford, M. M. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72, 248–254 (1976).
- Rose, N. L. et al. Development of a coupled spectrophotometric assay for GlfT2, a bifunctional mycobacterial galactofuranosyltransferase. Carbohydr. Res. 343, 2130–2139, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2008.03.023 (2008).
- 46. Cornish-Bowden, A. Fundamentals of Enzyme Kinetics. 4th edn, (Wiley-Blackwell, 2012).
- Ubeda, J.-M. *et al.* Modulation of gene expression in drug resistant Leishmania is associated with gene amplification, gene deletion and chromosome aneuploidy. *Genome Biol.* 9, R115, https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2008-9-7-r115 (2008).
- Mukherjee, A. et al. Telomeric gene deletion and intrachromosomal amplification in antimony-resistant Leishmania. Mol. Microbiol. 88, 189–202, https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.12178 (2013).
- Keresztessy, Z., Hughes, J., Kiss, L. & Hughes, M. A. Co-purification from Escherichia coli of a plant β-glucosidase-glutathione S-transferase fusion protein and the bacterial chaperonin GroEL. *Biochem. J.* 314, 41–47, https://doi.org/10.1042/bj3140041 (1996).
- 50. Yamatsugu, K., Splain, R. A. & Kiessling, L. L. Fidelity and Promiscuity of a Mycobacterial Glycosyltransferase. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 138, 9205–9211, https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b04481 (2016).
- 51. http://www.cazy.org.
- Lombard, V., Golaconda Ramulu, H., Drula, E., Coutinho, P. M. & Henrissat, B. The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, D490–D495, https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkt1178 (2014).
- Engel, J., Schmalhorst, P. S., Dork-Bousset, T., Ferrières, V. & Routier, F. H. A single UDP-galactofuranose transporter is required for galactofuranosylation in Aspergillus fumigatus. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 33859–33868, https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.070219 (2009).
- Park, J. et al. Identification and functional analysis of two Golgi-localized UDP-galactofuranose transporters with overlapping functions in Aspergillus niger. BMC microbiology 15, 253, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-015-0541-2 (2015).
- Afroz, S., El-Ganiny, A. M., Sanders, D. A. & Kaminskyj, S. G. Roles of the Aspergillus nidulans UDP-galactofuranose transporter, UgtA in hyphal morphogenesis, cell wall architecture, conidiation, and drug sensitivity. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 48, 896–903, https://doi. org/10.1016/j.fgb.2011.06.001 (2011).
- Gantt, R. W., Goff, R. D., Williams, G. J. & Thorson, J. S. Probing the Aglycon Promiscuity of an Engineered Glycosyltransferase. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47, 8889–8892, https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200803508 (2008).
- Chang, A., Singh, S., Phillips, G. N. & Thorson, J. S. Glycosyltransferase structural biology and its role in the design of catalysts for glycosylation. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 22, 800–808, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2011.04.013 (2011).
- 58. https://www.brenda-enzymes.org/enzyme.php?ecno=5.4.99.9.
- Zhang, Q. & Liu, H.-W. Studies of UDP-Galactopyranose Mutase from Escherichia coli: An Unusual Role of Reduced FAD in Its Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 9065–9070, https://doi.org/10.1021/ja001333z (2000).
- Eppe, G. et al. Probing UDP-galactopyranose mutase binding pocket: A dramatic effect on substitution of the 6-position of UDP-galactofuranose. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 19, 814–816, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.12.014 (2009).
- Peltier, P., Daniellou, R., Nugier-Chauvin, C. & Ferrières, V. Versatile Synthesis of Rare Nucleotide Furanoses. Org. Lett. 9, 5227–5230, https://doi.org/10.1021/ol702392x (2007).
- Peltier, P., Guegan, J.-P., Daniellou, R., Nugier-Chauvin, C. & Ferrieres, V. Stereoselective chemoenzymic synthesis of UDP-1,2-cisfuranoses from α,β-furanosyl 1-phosphates. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 5988–5994, https://doi.org/10.1002/ejoc.200800742 (2008).

Acknowledgements

J.A. thanks the "Ministère de la Recherche et des Nouvelles Technologies" for the PhD scholarship. We also thank Prof. F. Routier (Hannover Medical School, Germany) for the generous gift of the genomic DNA from *L. major*, and Prof. V. Ferrières (ENSC of Rennes, France) for helpful discussions. We finally thank Sebastien Santini -CNRS/AMU IGS UMR7256, France, for providing and maintaining Phylogeny webserver.

Author Contributions

P.L. and R.D. conceived and designed the study. J.A. performed cloning, overexpression and purification, as well as enzymatic studies, of the LPG1x family. C.C. performed the HRMS analysis. R.P.S. and R.B.Z. performed the chemical synthesis of the UDP-Galf. J.A., P.L., T.L.L. and R.D. analyzed the data and wrote the main manuscript text. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35847-w.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018